IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

JULIE ANTIONETTE HARDY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action File No.
v.)	1:15-CV-1244-ELR-JSA
)	
INTERCONTINENTAL HOTEL)	
BUCKHEAD ATLANTA)	
)	
Defendant.)	

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant IHG MANAGEMENT (MARYLAND), LLC ("IHG"), incorrectly identified as INTERCONTINENTAL HOTEL BUCKHEAD ATLANTA ("IHG" or "Defendant"), by its counsel and pursuant to Rules 8 and 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby answers Plaintiff's *Pro Se* Employment Discrimination Complaint Form ("Plaintiff's Complaint") as follows:

Claims and Jurisdiction

PARAGRAPH NO. 1:

This employment discrimination lawsuit is brought under (check only those that apply):

✓	Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e e
seq., for	employment discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex
or nation	nal origin, or retaliation for exercising rights under this statute.

NOTE: To sue under Title VII, you generally must have received a

ANSWER:

Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to bring this action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e *et seq*. ("Title VII"), but denies that Defendant was Plaintiff's employer under Title VII, that Defendant violated Title VII, or that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH NO. 2:

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case under the above-listed statutes and under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

ANSWER:

Defendant admits that this Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 as Plaintiff has asserted claims under Title VII. Defendant denies that it is Plaintiff's employer and that it violated Title VII. Defendant also denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Parties

PARAGRAPH NO. 3:

Plaintiff.	Print your full name and mailing address below:
Name	Julie Antionette Hardy
Address	5390 Scofield Road
	College Park, Georgia 303049

ANSWER:

Upon information and belief, Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph No. 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH NO. 4:

Defendant(s). Print below the name and address of each defendant listed on page 1 of this form:

Name	Intercontinental (sic) Buchead Atlanta	_
Address	3315 Peachtree Road, NE	
	Atlanta, Georgia 30326	
Name	TFS Facility Staffing	
Address	4355 Cobb Parkway Suite 207	
	Atlanta, Georgia 30339	

Defendant admits that the InterContinental Hotel Buckhead Atlanta is located at 3315 Peachtree Road, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30326. Defendant denies that the Hotel was Plaintiff's employer under Title VII and that it is a proper defendant in this case. Upon information and belief, Defendant admits that Plaintiff was an employee of TFS Staffing. Defendant also denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Location and Time

PARAGRAPH NO. 5:

If the a	lleged	discriminato	ry conduct	occurred	at a loc	ation <u>dif</u>	<u>ferent</u> fror	n the
address	provide	ed for defend	ant(s), state	where tha	t discrim	ination o	ccurred:	
	•							

Defendant affirmatively states that Plaintiff did not check a response to Paragraph No. 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint. Accordingly, no response is required to this paragraph. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph No. 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH NO. 6:

When did the alleged discrimination occur?	(State date or time period)
December 2014	
about 10 days before Christmas	
12/25/14	

ANSWER:

Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph No. 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Administrative Procedures

PARAGRAPH NO. 7:

Did you file a charge of	discrimi	nation a	gainst defendant(s)	with the EEOC	or any
other federal agency?		_Yes	No		·
If you checked "Y	es," atta	ch a cop	y of the charge to th	nis complaint.	

Defendant admits that Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). Defendant denies that Plaintiff attached a copy of the charge to Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH NO. 8:

Have y	ou receive	ed a Notice of Right	t-to-Sue letter from the EEOC?
✓	_ Yes	No	
	•		a copy of that letter to this complaint and state d that letter: January 19, 2015
<u>ANSV</u>	VER:		
	Upon info	ormation and belief	f, Defendant admits that Plaintiff received a
Notice	of Right-t	o-Sue letter from th	ie EEOC.
<u>PARA</u>	GRAPH	NO. 9:	
If you	are suing f	or age discriminat	ion, check one of the following:
	discrimina	60 days or more tion with the EEOC	have elapsed since I filed my charge of age
	 discrimina	Less than 60 day	ys have passed since I filed my charge of age

ANSWER:

Defendant affirmatively states that Plaintiff did not check a response to Paragraph No. 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint. Accordingly, no response is required to

this paragraph. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph No. 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH NO. 10:

I THE ION IN THE
If you were employed by an agency of the State of Georgia or unsuccessfully sought employment with a State agency, did you file a complaint against defendant(s) with the Georgia Commission on Equal Opportunity?
YesNoNot applicable, because I was not an employee of, or applicant with, a State agency.
If you checked "Yes," attach a copy of the complaint you filed with the Georgia Commission on Equal Opportunity and describe below what happened with it (i.e., the complaint was dismissed, there was a hearing before a special master, or there was an appeal to Superior Court):
ANSWER:
Upon information and belief, Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph
No. 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
PARAGRAPH NO. 11:
If you were employed by a Federal agency or unsuccessfully sought employment with a Federal agency, did you complete the administrative process established by that agency for persons alleging denial of equal employment opportunity?
YesNoNot applicable, because I was not an employee of, or applicant with, a Federal agency.

If you checked	"Yes," describe	e below what hap	pened in that adr	ninistrative
process:				
-				
•				

Upon information and belief, Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph
No. 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Nature of the Case

PARAGRAPH NO. 12:

The coapply):	nduct complained about in this lawsuit involves (check only those that
	failure to hire me
	failure to promote me
	demotion
	reduction in my wages
✓	working under terms and conditions of employment that differed from similarly situated employees
	harassment
	retaliation
✓	termination of my employment
	failure to accommodate my disability
	other (please specify)

ANSWER:

Defendant denies that it subjected Plaintiff to different working conditions from similarly situated employees and that it terminated Plaintiff's employment.

Defendant denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH NO. 13:

I believe that I wa	as discriminated against because of (check only those that apply):
	my race or color, which is African American
	my religion, which is
<u> </u>	my sex (gender), which is male female
	my national origin, which is
	my age (my date of birth is
	my disability or perceived disability, which is:
	<u> </u>
violated the investigation	my opposition to a practice of my employer that I believe e federal anti-discrimination laws or my participation in an EEOC on
	other (please specify)

ANSWER:

Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to Plaintiff's beliefs, but denies that Plaintiff was discriminated against. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph No. 13 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

PARAGRAPH NO. 14:

Write below, as clearly as possible, the essential facts of your claim(s). Describe specifically the conduct that you believe was discriminatory or retaliatory and how each defendant was involved. Include any facts which show that the actions you

are complaining	; about were	discriminato	ry or retalia	atory.	Take	time to	organ	nize
your statements	; you may u	se numbered	paragraphs	if you	find	that hel	pful.	Do
not make legal a	rguments or	cite cases or	statutes.					

	See Atta	ached						
_	3	typed pages						
,		ore than five ide of a page.)	sheets	if n	ecessary;	type	or	write
ANSWE	ER:							

Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph No. 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint, as well as the allegations in the attachment to Plaintiff's Complaint, except as expressly admitted *infra*.

PARAGRAPH NO. 15:

Plaintiff _____ still works for defendant(s)

____ no longer works for defendant(s) or was not hired

ANSWER:

Defendant admits that Plaintiff does not work for Defendant. Indeed,

Defendant affirmatively states that Plaintiff never worked for Defendant.

Defendant denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 15 of Plaintiff's

Complaint.

PARAGRAPH NO. 16:

If this is a disability-related claim, did defendant(s) deny a request for reasonable accommodation? _____ Yes __✓_ No

If you checked "Yes," please explain:
ANSWER:
Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph No. 16 of Plaintiff's
Complaint.
PARAGRAPH NO. 17:
If your case goes to trial, it will be heard by a judge <u>unless</u> you elect a jury trial. Do you request a jury trial? <u>✓</u> Yes No
ANSWER:
Defendant admits that Plaintiff requests a jury trial, but denies that any of
Plaintiff's claims are cognizable or that they should be adjudicated by a jury.
Request for Relief
As relief from the allegations of discrimination and/or retaliation stated above, plaintiff prays that the Court grant the following relief (check any that apply):
Defendant(s) be directed to
✓ Money damages (list amounts) <u>20,000</u>
Costs and fees involved in litigating this case
Such other relief as may be appropriate
ANSWER:
Defendant denies the allocations in the annual and a great of District?

Defendant denies the allegations in the unnumbered paragraph of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint entitled "Request for Relief."

ANSWERS TO ATTACHMENT TO PLAINTIFF'S TITLE VII COMPLAINT¹

PARAGRAPH 1:

I was hired by TFS Facility Services of Georgia at 4355 J Cobb Parkway suite 207 Atlanta, Georgia 30339. I was hired to work as a Steward Supervisor at the Intercontinental Buckhead 3315 Peachtree Rd NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326. I was told by Donna TFS management that I could not be hired for the position unless I changed my hair color. My hair color was a medium red. I was told by Donna TFS of management that Chef Didier Lailheugue would not allow any employees to work in the kitchen with colored hair. However after being employed there for about a month I noticed female employees with colored hair. There were 2 Latino woman with red and the other with blonde hair. There was also 1 African American female with green hair. About 2 weeks later the African American employee was told by steward supervisor Derik Lloyd that if she did not change her hair color she would be terminated. That employee chose to cover her head with a hat or scarf while working in order not to be fired. The Latino woman were allowed to where there hair colored while myself and other African American woman where not.

ANSWER:

Defendant admits that Plaintiff was an employee of TFS Facility Services ("TFS") of Georgia and that TFS assigned Plaintiff to work at the InterContinental Hotel Buckhead Atlanta as a Steward Supervisor. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning Plaintiff's discussion with TFS employees. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 1 of the attachment to Plaintiff's Complaint.

Defendant will refer to the allegations in the attachment to Plaintiff's Title VII Complaint as "Attachment to Plaintiff's Complaint."

PARAGRAPH 2:

During my employment there as a steward supervisor I was told by many African American employees that they felt the difference between how they were being treated as opposed to the way Latino employees were being treated. African American employees told me that they were always scheduled during night shift while Latino workers had the option of working various shifts. They told me that there work assignments always stayed the same and the work load seem to be more while Latino workers were asked to work other positions other than their normal routine.

ANSWER:

Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph No. 2 of the attachment to Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH 3:

I reached out to Donna TFS Facility management and Derik Lloyd steward supervisor of Intercontinental Buckhead Atlanta to inform them of these issues that were brought to my attention. Ms. Donna and Derik Lloyd told me that when talking about employees that I should refer to white people as wonderful people and Latino people as lovely people and Black people as beautiful people. These were codes used so that no one would know who we were talking about. Ms. Donna and Derik Lloyd told me that the reason African American employees were treated different is because they don't work as fast and good as Latino workers. They said that African American workers always talked back and were always on their phones. They told me that Latino workers don't talk back and they do a better job than the African American workers. I asked Ms. Donna why were Latino female employees allowed to wear their hair colored while I and African American employees could not. She only replied with a yes and a shrug of the shoulders. None of the answers I was given by management set well with me but I continued to carry on with my assigned duties.

Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph No. 3 of the attachment to Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH 4:

After being there for about 1 month I noticed that about 70% of the Food and Beverage Department was made up of male employees. I was the only female manager in that department along with 4 male supervisors. While being employed there I was told by TFS Management Ms. Donna and Derik Lloyd that I was doing a great job and to keep up the good work. In my department there were 2 Latino male managers and 1 African American Supervisor and 1 Caucasian food and beverage Director. The Chef Didier Laiheugue I believe from France. I was the only African American woman in my department.

ANSWER:

Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning the demographic of TFS employees assigned to work at the hotel. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 4 of the attachment to Plaintiff's Complaint.

PARAGRAPH 5:

On my last day in December 2014 I was scheduled to work an evening shift along with the 2 Latino male managers that are brothers. One manager is a first shift manager and the other is a second shift manager. I was hired to assist in the Steward department when these 2 managers needed days off and to help with big events. On the day in December 2014 when I was terminated I was brought in to assist second shift manager with an event. I was also told that I needed to train 3 new employees as well as pass out checks. I was also told that I needed to get addresses and phone numbers and birthdates of all Latino employees because their files needed to be updated. On that evening I was also given a banquet sheet for an event that I had not been briefed on prior to coming in that night. I was told by

Derik Lloyd that I needed to a follow the banquet sheet. It was a busy night so the first thing I did was asked an employee had Quan the second shift Latino manager assigned them their evening duties and she said yes. I was told at the beginning of my employment that each manager's duty is soon as they arrive for their scheduled shift they should assign all employees their duties. It was Quans shift and I was told by an employee that Quan intact had filled out assignments to each employee. I carried on with the passing out of checks and training new employees and helping with event. However Chef Didier Laihegue sent me home that evening because he said no employees were assigned to retrieve dirty dishes from the back hallway after the event. I told him that it was Quans shift and I was told that he had all employees in their assigned positions but he still sent me home that night. I reached out to the Food and Beverage Departments Jason Duvalle and he assured me that I was not terminated and that he would set up a meeting on how I could improve as a supervisor. However the meeting never took place. I was never contacted by Mr. Duvalle and Ms. Donna of TFS Facilitys said that she was told that Chef Laiheque terminated me. I was terminated a week before Christmas and it put me in a financial bind. I was unable to by the gifts for my children and I have been suffering from depression and anxiety. I have been off work until April 2014. I am seeking monetary settlement for being terminated based on gender and race.

ANSWER:

Defendant admits that Plaintiff was scheduled to work a shift on December 5, 2014 and that she was placed in charge of an event that evening. Defendant further admits that Chef Didier Lailheugue told Plaintiff that her services were no longer needed for that shift after he found her chatting in the kitchen instead of taking care of the event to which she was assigned. Defendant denies that Chef Lailheugue or any other IHG employee terminated or had the authority to terminate Plaintiff's employment with TFS. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegation that

Plaintiff's employment with TFS was terminated and the allegations regarding Plaintiff's discussion with TFS employees. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 5 of the attachment to Plaintiff's Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff's claims are based on acts or omissions that occurred prior to any applicable statute of limitations, Plaintiff's claims are time-barred.

SECOND DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff failed to exhaust her administrative remedies and/or failed to comply with any procedural prerequisites prior to bringing his claims, the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over such claims or such claims are otherwise barred.

THIRD DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff alleges that any of Defendant's employees acted in an improper or illegal manner, such conduct, if it occurred, was outside the course and scope of their employment, was not authorized, ratified, or condoned by Defendant, and was undertaken without the knowledge or consent of Defendant. Thus, Defendant is not liable for any such conduct, if it occurred.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff is not entitled to punitive damages because Defendant made good faith efforts to comply with the Title VII and all other applicable anti-discrimination laws.

FIFTH DEFENSE

To the extent Plaintiff failed to mitigate her alleged damages, her recovery, if any, must be reduced accordingly.

SIXTH DEFENSE

Defendant avers that even if some impermissible motive was a factor in any employment decision concerning Plaintiff, a claim that Defendant expressly denies, the same decision(s) would have been reached for legitimate business reasons.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims may be barred in full or in part by the doctrine of estoppel.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Defendant exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any behavior alleged to violate federal law, and because Plaintiff unreasonably failed to take advantage of

Case 1:15-cv-01244-ELR-JSA Document 13 Filed 09/09/15 Page 18 of 19

preventative or corrective opportunities provided by Defendant or to avoid harm

otherwise.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court dismiss with

prejudice Plaintiff's Complaint in its entirety and award Defendant its reasonable

attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and any and all other relief the Court deems just

and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

IHG MANAGEMENT (MARYLAND),

LLC

By s/ Alex S. Drummond

Alex S. Drummond

Georgia Bar No. 231116

adrummond@seyfarth.com

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

1075 Peachtree Street, N.E.

Suite 2500

Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3962

Telephone: (404) 885-1500

Facsimile: (404) 892-7056

Date: September 9, 2015.

- 18 -

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

JULIE ANTIONETTE HARDY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action File No.
v.)	1:15-CV-1244-ELR-JSA
)	
INTERCONTINENTAL HOTEL)	
BUCKHEAD ATLANTA)	
)	
Defendant.)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 9, 2015, I filed this **DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, and that I mailed the foregoing, via US Mail, to the following:

Ms. Julie Hardy 5390 Scofield Road College Park, Georgia 30349

s/ Alex S. DrummondCounsel for DefendantGeorgia Bar No. 231116